

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
NASHVILLE DIVISION

TANYA THOMPSON, as next of kin for)
N.R.,)
Plaintiff,) NO. 3:22-cv-00460
v.) JUDGE RICHARDSON
KILOLO KIJAKAZI, Acting)
Commissioner of the Social Security)
Administration,)
Defendant.)

ORDER

Pending before the Court is a Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge (Doc. No. 42, “R&R”), wherein the Magistrate Judge recommends that Plaintiff’s motion for judgment on the administrative record (Doc. No. 33) be denied and the Social Security Administration’s decision be affirmed. No parties have filed an objection within 14 days as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 and 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The failure to properly, specifically, and timely object to a report and recommendation releases the Court from its duty to independently review the matter. *Frias v. Frias*, No. 2:18-cv-00076, 2019 WL 549506, at *2 (M.D. Tenn. Feb. 12, 2019). “The filing of vague, general, or conclusory objections does not meet the requirement of specific objections and is tantamount to a complete failure to object. Moreover, an objection that does nothing more than state a disagreement with a magistrate’s suggested resolution, or simply summarizes what has been presented before, is not an objection as that term is used in this context.” *Id.* at *2 (internal citations and quotation marks omitted). The district court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any

other standard, those aspects of the report and recommendation to which no objection is made. *Ashraf v. Adventist Health System/Sunbelt, Inc.*, 322 F. Supp. 3d 879, 881 (W.D. Tenn. 2018); *Benson v. Walden Security*, No. 3:18-cv-0010, 2018 WL 6322332, at *3 (M.D. Tenn. Dec. 4, 2018). The district court should adopt the magistrate judge's findings and rulings to which no specific objection is filed. *Id.*

Accordingly, the R&R (Doc. No. 42) is ADOPTED. Plaintiff's motion for judgment on the administrative record (Doc. No. 33) is DENIED, and the Social Security Administration's decision is AFFIRMED.

This is the final order in this case. As it denies all relief, the Clerk SHALL enter judgment. Fed. R. Civ. P. 58(b)(1)(C).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Eli Richardson
ELI RICHARDSON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE